

Instructor: Prof. Agnes Ku (谷淑美)

Email: soagnes@ust.hk/ Office: Rm. 3369 (lift #13-15)/ appointment by email

TA: Jennifer Hung (email: jenniferhsy@ust.hk)

HONG KONG CULTURE

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

The course examines the symbolic and cultural meanings embedded in the city of Hong Kong and deciphers the process of cultural continuity and change from historical perspective. It aims to sensitize students to a critical understanding of Hong Kong culture in various domains of social life.

II. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES

Upon completion of the course, students should be able to

- Explain a few salient facets of Hong Kong culture and identity from historical perspective;
- Analyze culture in terms of the values, meanings, discourses and ideologies underpinning various social spheres;
- Formulate an informed interpretation of Hong Kong culture.

III. CONTENT

The course consists of two major thematic clusters and one special topic:

- i) Culture and identity from historical perspective
 - ii) Space, community and cityscape
- “Culture and identity” looks at the historical formation of Hong Kong culture through the local/ national/ global nexus. It considers the roles of popular culture (market), the state and civil society in shaping our local culture since the 1960s. It explores the construction of identity in different domains of social life from colonial times to the post-colonial era.
 - “Space, community and cityscape” extends the discussion of culture and identity into the arena of urban space and development, exploring the issues of memory and heritage, public versus private space, globalism and localism, community and development, as well as home and housing inequalities.
 - (Special Topic) “Popular Culture” addresses issues relating to cultural production, popular tastes and audience reception, while echoing some of the issues relating to culture and identity.

IV. MODES OF LEARNING/ASSESSMENT

Short Assignment	10%
Seminar Presentation	10%
Seminar Participation	10% (including attendance and participation)
Discussion Sessions	5%
Essay	25%
Final Exam	40%

※ Seminars: Each student will do one group presentation. Attendance in the seminars is required.

※ A short assignment, *on an individual basis*, is due on **Oct 7 (Tue), 11:59pm**. (See section VI)

※ An essay, *on an individual basis*, is due on **Dec 20 (Sat), 11:59pm**. Choose from among the 8 seminar questions (**Q1-Q8 only**) and write an essay of 2,000-3,000 words in English or in Chinese. References should be included.

※ The final exam will be in the form of essays.

V. SCHEDULE FOR LECTURES AND SEMINARS

Wk	Tuesday	Thursday
1	[02/09] Introduction	[04/09] Culture & Identity (historical focus)
2	[09/09] Culture & Identity (historical focus)	[11/09] The Concept of Culture
3	[16/09] Culture & Identity (historical focus)	[18/09] Culture & Identity (historical focus)
4	[23/09] Discussion	[25/09] Culture & Identity (historical focus)
5	[30/09] Culture & Identity (historical focus)	[02/10] Culture, Identity & Space (hybridity)
6	[07/10] {holiday}	[09/10] Space & Community
7	[14/10] Space & Community	[16/10] Space & Community
8	[21/10] SEMINAR (1)	[23/10] SEMINAR (2)
9	[28/10] SEMINAR (3)	[30/10] SEMINAR (4)
10	[04/11] Space & Community	[06/11] Space & Community
11	[11/11] Space & Community	[13/11] Discussion (Popular Culture)
12	[18/11] SEMINAR (5)	[20/11] SEMINAR (6)
13	[25/11] SEMINAR (7)	[27/11] SEMINAR (8)

VI. SHORT ASSIGNMENT

Write an essay of 500-800 words, in English or in Chinese, on ONE of the following two questions with CLOSE reference to the required reading. (You are advised to focus your discussion primarily on the reading.) The purpose is to give you some preliminary training regarding the method, approach as well as language used in cultural/discourse analysis. [Due date: October 7, 11:59pm]

Question (A)

“The formation of Hong Kong identity is a process of hegemonic construction.” Discuss this statement with reference to the right of abode example.

Reading {also reading for seminar 1}:

Ku, Agnes S. (2001b). “Hegemonic Construction, Negotiation and Displacement – Struggle over Right of Abode in Hong Kong.” *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 4 (3): 259-27.

Question (B)

“The nation is a cultural and political construction.” Discuss this statement with reference to the following reading.

Reading {also reading for seminar 3}:

盧思騁 (1997), “民族主義與殖民統治---國粹派的民族觀念系統” 載羅永生 (編) (1997): 【誰的城市?】。香港: 牛津大學, 頁 39-67。

VII. DISCUSSION SESSIONS, SEMINAR QUESTIONS AND READINGS

[23/09] **Discussion Session (Hong Kong ethos)**

*Identify specific idioms or icons that you think most represent the Hong Kong ethos at the present time and analyze their symbolic meanings. How far do these meanings reflect some larger ideological discourses? (*Please prepare two different examples in writing, in just a couple of lines, and submit them by Sep 20/ Sat, 11:59pm.)*

Readings

吳俊雄 (2002): 「尋找香港本土意識」

[13/11] **Discussion Session (Popular culture)** (more details later)

SEMINAR QUESTIONS

[21/10] **Q1 [Culture & Identity] – Narrating Hong Kong**

Analyze the cultural frameworks and discourses used to narrate modern Hong Kong and discuss how they shed light on the representation of Hong Kong identity during the 1960s-1990s.

Required Readings

Ku (2018), “Identity as Politics” {excerpts} (a historical overview)

Turner & Ngan (1995), *Hong Kong Sixties*, pp. xvi, 13-34, 80-83 (the 1960s & 70s)

「80年代有咩好?!」 in *Being HK 2022*, pp252-269 (primary source; recalling the 1980s)

Ku (2001), “Hegemonic Construction” (a case study on identity politics in the 1990s)

Recommended

Shum (2022), “Seeing the Bigger Picture.” (pp.13-27) (the HK City Hall Ensemble)

[Advice]

- Identify the salient frameworks and illustrate with examples. Examine how they have shaped Hong Kong identity and reflect on some issues or tensions arising out of them.
- Consider continuity and change in the 1960s-70s, transitional years & early post-1997 era.

[23/10] **Q2 [Culture & Identity] – Remembering (Forgetting) our Past**

How have histories and collective memories been interpreted and constructed to tell of Hong Kong's past?

Required Readings

Fok (2023) “Removing a colonial anachronism” (historical background esp. after the 1960s)

Leung (2009) “Fates of European Heritage” (3 examples of colonial heritage)

Ku (2010), “Making Heritage in Hong Kong” (case: Central Police Station compound)

Sham (2017), “Hong Kong as a Port City”

Recommended

Weedon (2019) “Collective memory” (theory)

[Advice]

- Examine the approaches, rationales and frameworks used to selectively remember (or forget), narrate and represent the past and think about their relations as well as implications.

[28/10] Q3 [Culture & Identity] – Representing “Chineseness”

Examine, based on the readings, the divergent discourses of Chinese nationalism whereby Hong Kong has been related to mainland China over the years.

Required Readings

盧思騁 (1997): 「民族主義與殖民統治」, 載羅永生(編)

李祖喬 (2011): 「香港文化的邊緣」, 載彭麗君(編)

Zhang et al. (2018), “Politics of Memories” (comparing the museums in Macau & HK*)

Ho et al. 2023. “Customary and customized” (nation-building at the HK Palace Museum)

[Advice]

- Pay attention to the differences and dynamics between the different discourses. Consider also continuity and change before and after 1997.
- *Focus on HK but you may also discuss Macau briefly to shed a comparative light on HK.

[30/10] Q4 [Culture & Identity] – Rethinking Hybridity

How far does “hybridity” offer a useful framework to anchor our understanding of Hong Kong culture?

Required Readings

Wong and Chan (2017) “... Bilingualism in HK’s Linguistic Landscape” (signage)

Padua (2007). “Designing an Identity ... Post-traditional Landscape” (architecture)

Chan (2019). “Tea cafés” (food culture)

陳冠中 (2007): 【我這一代香港人】, 頁 50-73

[Advice]

- Explore some common and different themes of duality highlighted in the articles; “tradition vs. modernity” being one example, among others.
- Reflect on some conceptual issues relating to hybridity as addressed in the articles.

[18/11] Q5 [Space] – Cityscape

Examine some distinctive spatial features of Hong Kong as a cosmopolitan city and discuss how socio-cultural and spatial developments have shaped Hong Kong’s cityscape since the 1980s.

Required Readings

Healey (2002), “On Creating the ‘City’ as a Collective Resource.” (concepts)

Wang & Pan. (2025), “Wardian case” (shopping malls; 1980s)

Raco and Gilliam (2011), “Geographies of abstraction” (case: West Kowloon Cultural District)

Li 2018. “Terminating Architecture” (case: Element)

Recommended

Abbas (1997), *Culture and Politics of Disappearance*, ch.4

[Advice]

- Healey’s article provides a clear exposition of the idea of city image.
- Think about Hong Kong both locally and globally.
- Try to relate the readings to one another to make the discussion coherent.

[20/11] Q6 [Space] – Place, Heritage and Community

What makes places? Analyze the dynamics in the processes of making and un-making places with reference to some specific examples in Hong Kong.

Required Readings

Gieryn (2000), “A Space for Place in Sociology”

Siu (2015), “Everyday life under modernist planning” (case: Wan Chai)

谷淑美、徐匡慈 (2009): 「一場新社區運動帶來的啓迪」(case: Lee Tung Street, Wan Chai)

Lau and Chow (2019). “The Right to Landscape” (case: State Theatre, North Point)

Ng (2018). “Sustainable Community Building” (case: Blue House cluster, Wan Chai)

[Advice]

- Gieryn’s article provides a good overview on the notion of place.
- Think about the role of culture in place-making. (Consider how cultural resources are used and how discourse and space shape each other in generating cultural resources etc.)

[25/11] Q7 [Space] – Public Spaces

Discuss how public spaces have been regulated, negotiated and/ or transformed through cultural and spatial practices in our society.

Required Readings

Chan (2023), “Government-driven commodification” (case: Kwun Tong Promenade)

Ku (2016), “Making Cultures” (extracts) (cases: campaigns for Star Ferry & Queen’s piers)

Law (2002), “Defying disappearance.” (cosmopolitan public space; Central; migrant workers)

Villani and Talamini (2021), “Pedestrianised Streets” (everyday spaces)

Recommended (optional)

Qian (2020), “Geographies of Public Space” (concepts)

[Advice]

- Qian’s article offers different theoretical readings of the notion of public space.
- Consider how public spaces have been defined and re-defined, and how their uses might be negotiated by different people through discourses and various activities.

[27/11] Q8 [Space] – Home & Housing

“Home is a cultural construction that reinforces social inequalities.” Discuss this statement in the context of Hong Kong.

Required Readings

Chan, Kam Wah (2000). “Prosperity of Inequality”

La Grange and Pretorius (2016). “State-led Gentrification in Hong Kong.”

Choi et al. (2019). “Luxury Housing”

黎婉薇 (1996): 「大都會計劃的背後」

[Advice]

- Analyze how “home” has been culturally constructed in society.
- Delineate the social/ housing inequalities and explain in what ways the cultural construction of “home” reinforces (or simply reflects) such inequalities.

VIII. ASSESSMENT CRITERIA & GRADING POLICY

Scores for the assessed activities as well as feedback will be communicated via Canvas. Students who have questions may consult the instructor within one week after the release of the scores.

Grade	Grade Descriptor	Elaboration
A	Outstanding/ Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstrates a profound understanding of course materials explains concepts & ideas effectively illustrates the concepts with excellent examples or cases shows depth and originality
B	Very Good/ Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstrates a very good/ good understanding of course materials explains concepts & ideas clearly illustrates the concepts with good examples or cases
C	Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstrates an adequate grasp of course materials explains concepts & ideas adequately illustrates the concepts with relevant examples or cases
D	Marginal Pass	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> demonstrates a weak grasp of course materials explains concepts & ideas poorly
F	Fail	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> fails to adequately understand course materials fails to fulfill a significant portion of course requirements

Academic Integrity

Students are expected to adhere to the university's academic integrity policy that requires you to uphold HKUST's Academic Honor Code and maintain the highest standards of academic integrity. Please refer to Academic Registry for the University's definition of plagiarism and ways to avoid cheating and plagiarism.

AI Policy

The use of generative AI to assist your learning is permitted under the conditions that proper acknowledgement is provided, and the use does not replace your own work.

IX. REFERENCES

A. Hong Kong Culture: Introduction/Overview

1. 吳俊雄 (2002)：「尋找香港本土意識」。載吳俊雄、張志偉編：【閱讀香港普及文化】。香港：牛津大學。頁 86-95。
2. Ku, Agnes S. 2018. "Identity as Politics – Contesting the Local, the National and the Global." In T. L. Lui et al. (eds), *Routledge Handbook of Contemporary Hong Kong*. London: Routledge, 451-461.
3. Turner, Matthew, and Irene Ngan (eds). 1995. *Hong Kong Sixties – Designing Identity*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong Arts Centre, pp.xvi, 13-34, 80-83.

B. Hong Kong Culture: Specific Issues

4. 谷淑美、徐匡慈 (2009)：「一場新社區運動帶來的啓迪——從『忽然』文化說起」。載馬傑偉、吳俊雄、呂大樂編：【香港.文化.政治】。香港：香港大學出版社。
5. 李祖喬 (2011)，載彭麗君（編）：【邊城對話：香港·中國·邊緣·邊界】。香港：香港中文大學出版社。頁 275-299。
6. 陳冠中 (2007)：【我這一代香港人】。香港：Oxford University Press, 頁 50-73
7. 陳錦華等 (1997)：【香港城市與房屋】。香港：三聯，頁 141-156，169-178。
8. 葉蔭聰 (1997)：「『本地人』從哪裏來？- 從《中國學生周報》看六十年代的香港想像」。載羅永生（編）：【誰的城市？】。香港：牛津大學。頁 13-67。
9. 黎婉薇 (1996)：「大都會計劃的背後」。載甘炳光等編：【香港房屋政策評論】。香港：三聯，頁 104-111。
10. 盧思騁 (1997)：「民族主義與殖民統治 ---國粹派的民族觀念系統」。載羅永生（編）：【誰的城市？】。香港：牛津大學，頁 39-67。
11. Abbas, A. 1997. *Culture and Politics of Disappearance*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, ch.4, pp. 63-90.
12. Chan, E. 2023. "Government-drive commodification of public space: The case of Kwun Tong Promenade, Hong Kong." *Cities* 134, pp.1-10.
13. Chan, S. C. 2019. "Tea cafes and the Hong Kong identity: Food culture and hybridity." *China Information*, Vol. 33 (3), 311-328.
14. Chan, K. W. 2000. "Prosperity or Inequality: Deconstructing the Myth of Home Ownership in Hong Kong." *Housing Studies*, Vol. 15 (1), 29-44.
15. Choi, K, A. Chan and A. Chan. (2020). "Producing 'Luxury' Housing: Developers' Strategies and Housing Advertisements in Hong Kong (1961-2011)." *Urban Studies*, 57(16), 3252-3280.
16. Fok, Yeung Yeung. 2023. "Removing a colonial anachronism: The Decolonization of Heritage in the 1970s Hong Kong." *International Journal of Cultural Property*, 30: 341-360.
17. Ho, J. W. I. and L. Law. 2023. "Customary and customized: nation-building at the Hong Kong Palace Museum." *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, Vol. 29 (3), pp.122-144.
18. Ku, A. 2001. "Hegemonic Construction, Negotiation and Displacement – Struggle over Right of Abode in Hong Kong." *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 4 (3): 259-278.
19. Ku, A. 2004. "Immigration Policies, Discourses, and the Politics of Local Belonging in Hong Kong (1950-1980)." *Modern China*, 30 (3), pp. 326-360.
[Chinese version] 谷淑美 (2010) 「從移民政策的歷史軌跡看香港身分認同的構成 (1950-80)」【本土論述】。第 2 期。
20. Ku, A. 2010. "Making Heritage in Hong Kong: A Case Study of the Central Police Station Compound." *The China Quarterly* Vol. 202, pp.381-399.
21. Ku, A. 2016. "Making Cultures and Spaces from Below – New Urban Activism in Hong Kong." In J. Wang et al. (eds). *Making Cultural Cities in Asia - Mobility, Assemblage, and the Politics of Aspirational Urbanism*, London: Routledge, pp.191-203. (extracts)
22. Ku, A. 2018. "Identity as Politics." In *Routledge Handbook of Contemporary Hong Kong*, edited by T. Lui, W.K. Chiu, and R. Yep. London: Routledge, 451-461.
23. Ku, A. and C. Tsui. 2008. "The 'Global City' as a Cultural Project – The Case of the West Kowloon Cultural District." In H. Siu and A. Ku (eds), *Hong Kong Mobile: Making a Global Population*. Hong Kong: HKU Press.

24. La Grange, A. and F. Pretorius. 2016. "State-led Gentrification in Hong Kong." *Urban Studies*, Vol. 53 (3): 506-523.
25. Lau L.K.P. and P. Chow (2019). "The Right to Landscape: Social Sustainability and the Conservation of the State Theatre, Hong Kong." *Sustainability*, 11, 1-16.
26. Law, L. (2002). "Defying Disappearance: Cosmopolitan Public Spaces in Hong Kong." *Urban Studies* Vol. 39 (9), pp.1625-1645.
27. Leung, M. 2009. "Fates of European Heritage in Post-Colonial Contexts: Political Economy of Memory and Forgetting in Hong Kong." *Geographische Zeitschrift* 97, pp.24-42.
28. Li, S. (2013). "Terminating Architecture: Mega-Development in Hong Kong." *Theory, Culture and Society* 30 (7/8): 277-289.
29. Padua, Mary. 2007. "Designing an Identity: The Synthesis of a Post-traditional Landscape Vocabulary in Hong Kong." *Landscape Research*, Vol. 32, 2, pp. 225-240.
30. Raco, M. and K. Gilliam. 2012. "Geographies of Abstraction, Urban Entrepreneurialism, and the Production of New Cultural Spaces: The West Kowloon Cultural District." *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 44, pp. 1425-1442.
31. Sham, D. 2017. "Hong Kong as a Port City." In *Hong Kong Culture and Society in the New Millennium—Hong Kong as Method*, edited by Y.W. Chu. Singapore: Springer.
32. Siu, K.W.M. 2015. "Everyday life under modernist planning: A study of an ever-transforming urban area in Hong Kong." *Urban Design International*, Vol 20, pp.293-309.
33. Villani, C. and G. Talamini. 2021. "Pedestrianised Streets in the Global Neoliberal City: A Battleground between Hegemonic Strategies of Commodification and Informal Tactics of Commoning." *Cities* 108, pp.1-16.
34. Wang, B. & Lu Pan. 2025. "Wardian case, glass, and fountain: A reflection on the history of shopping malls in Hong Kong." *Communication and Media Studies*, Vol. 87 (1), pp. 71-96.
35. Wong, Alicia & Susan Chan. 2017. "From 'the World of Suzie Wong' to 'Asia's World City': Tracing the Development of Bilingualism in Hong Kong's Linguistic Landscape (1957-2014)." *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 15 (4), 435-454.
36. Wong, Ting-hong. 2002. *Hegemonies Compared: State Formation and the Chinese School Politics in Post-war Singapore and Hong Kong*. New York: RoutledgeFalmer.
37. Zhang, Carol, H. Xiao, N. Morgan and T. Ly. 2018. "Politics of Memories: Identity Construction in Museums." *Annals of Tourism Research* 73, pp.116-130.

C. General Theoretical Resources

38. Delanty, G. 2003. *Community*. London: Routledge, pp.50-71.
39. Duara, P. 1996. "Historicizing National Identity, or Who Imagines What and When," *Becoming National – A Reader*, edited by G. Eley and R. Suny, Oxford: OUP, pp. 151-177.
40. Gieryn, T. F. 2000. "A Space for Place in Sociology." *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26: 463-96.
41. Hall, S. (ed.). 1997. *Representation – Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices*. London: Sage and the Open University, chs. 1 & 5.
42. Hayden, D. 2003. "Urban Landscape History: The Sense of Place and the Politics of Space (an extract)." In *Narrating Hong Kong Culture and Identity*, edited by N. Pun and L. Yee, HK: OUP, pp.287-307.
43. Healey, P. 2002. "On Creating the 'City' as a Collective Resource." *Urban Studies*, 39 (10), 1777-1792.
44. O'Sullivan, T. 1994. *Key Concepts in Communication & Cultural Studies*. Routledge.
45. Phillips, Nelson and Cynthia Hardy. 2002. *Discourse Analysis – Investigating Processes of Social Construction*. London: Sage Publications, ch 1.
46. Qian, J. 2020. "Geographies of Public Space: Variegated Publicness, Variegated Epistemologies." *Progress in Human Geography*, 44(1), pp.77-98.
47. Redfern, P. A. 2003. "What Makes Gentrification 'Gentrification'?" *Urban Studies*, 40 (2), 2351-66.
48. Weedon, C. and G. Jordan. 2012. "Collective Memory: Theory and Politics." *Social Semiotics*, 22 (2), 143-153.
49. Wilson, D. & T. Muller. 2004. "Growth Coalitions, Language, and Metaphorical Deployment in St Louis," *Professional Geographer*, 56 (2): 282-294.